In: Proceedings of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Longleaf Alliance Conference; 1998 November 17-19; Charleston, SC. Longleaf Alliande Report No. 4. Auburn University, AL; Longleaf Alliance: 49-51.

# Basic growth relationships in thinned and unthinned longleaf pine plantations

- V, Clark Baldwin Jr. (USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, **2500** Shreveport Highway, Pineville. **LA** 71360)
- Daniel J. Leduc (USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, 2500 Shreveport Highway, Pineville, LA 71360)
- K. D. Peterson (USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station; 2500 Shreveport Highway, Pineville, LA 71360)
- Bernard R. Parresol (USDA Forest Service, Southern Research Station, Asheville, NC)

ABSTRACT • Compilation, editing, and formatting of seven long-term longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris* Mill.) growth and yield studies has 'been completed'and development of a growth and yield prediction system for longleaf pine plantations is underway. The studies are located in Central Louisiana, East Texas, Southern Mississippi, Southern Alabama, and Northern Florida Silvicultural treatments include various planting and post-thinning densities, pruning, and fertilization. Residual basal area thinning treatments ranging from 40 to 140 ft²/acre (including unthinned control treatments) were applied as early as age 17 and repeated periodically for as many as 8 thinnings. Planting densities ranged from 250 to 2500 trees/acre. Stand ages within the database range from 4 to 63 years. Various growth and yield variables are compared in tables and graphs for the thinning and planting density treatments and locations. The results represent some of the growth and yield trends that will be modeled in detail to develop a comprehensive prediction system for this important species of the southern United States.

#### INTRODUCTION

Growth and yield continues to be one of the high priority research topics of industrial, nonindustrial, and government natural resource organizations. The Southern Research Station of the USDA, Forest Service, has installed and currently maintains several active long-term studies to measure and model the growth and yield of planted iongleaf pine. **This** poster includes 6 studies installed by Forest Management Research located at Pineville, **Louisiana**, as **well** as a **dataset** obtained from T.R. Miller Company (designated 410). Most of the **studies emphasize** management by control of the pine density. Other treatments **include** pruning and mid-rotation fertilization. **Initial** planting densities ranged from 250 to 2500 trees per acre. Some plots were left unthinned, but most were selectively thinned to target basal areas from **40 to 140 ft per acre between ages 17 and 25**, and rethinned regularly to maintain their target densities. Many of the study piots are located in stands more than **50** years old where individual tree measurements have been repeated every 5 years for more than 30 years.

Data from these studies were **utilized** by Lohrey and Bailey, 1977, to develop a stand level prediction system for unthinned stands, and unthinned plantation data were also used to compare two diameter distribution modeling approaches in a study repotted at this **conference** (Leciuc et al. 1998). An interim publication reporting preliminary thinned stand modeling **results** is available (Thomas and Lohrey 1990). Several papers have been published providing equations to predict measures of tree component quantity (biomass and volume) or stem form in plantation-grown trees (Baldwin and Polmer 1981, Lohrey 1982, Baldwin and Saucier 1983, Thomas et al. 1995). Recently, papers utilizing these data have been written emphasizing the great value of **longleaf** pine products from thinned stands (Busby et al. **1993).** the dendroecological history of some of these pine stands (**Eriksson 1993),** and minimization of the costs associated with restoration of **longleaf** pine ecosystems (Busby et al. 1996).

Intensive analyses of data for prediction systems in thinned as well as unthinned stands are in process. These relationships will be the foundation for the model fitting process that will eventually result in a prediction system for planted **longleaf** pine. The completed **prediction system will be published as** part of the COMPUTE series of growth and yield models (Baldwin **1989),** and will include several management **options.** 

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Study 302 was compared to study 410 to demonstrate the variability of the age at which trees in a stand reach 4.5 feet in height. The early growth of study 410 may help to explain its greater diameter and dominant height values. More **early** growth and yield data (ages 5-15) would be beneficial in explaining this early grass-stage variability beyond a simple site index value.

When the seven separate studies with various treatments (thinnings, prunings, fertilizations, etc.) are compared there is much confounding from this **variety** of treatments, but there does seem to be a clear relationship between site quality and trends **in** survival and volume yield. **It** will be necessary to account for the many differences included in these studies to obtain a meaningful model, but this **diversity** should help to make the end result more widely applicable.

In order to observe the treatment effect, a single study (313, Texas, medium site-quality) was extracted from the **dataset**. It **has** a series of target basal areas from 40 to 140 sq. ft. per acre in increments of 20. The lack of overlapping of plots into other 'zones' of basal area before the next measurement/thinning indicates an appropriate set of thinning treatments and will maximize modeling of stand responses to thinning treatments. As might be expected, cubic-foot volume is greatest in the highest density stands. Thinning treatments have little effect on height, but great effect on average diameter.

### CONCLUSION

The range and quantity of data and silvicultural treatments involved in these seven studies provide a well rounded **dataset** for growth and yield of **longleaf** pine. Observation of the basic data trends across studies and treatments reveals some basic building blocks as well as interesting challenges to developing a prediction system.

# LITERATURE CITED

- Baldwin, V.C., Jr. 1989. The "COMPUTE" series of growth and yield prediction systems: management tools for decision making. In: **Burkhart,** H.E.; Rauscher, H.M.; Johann, K., eds. Proceedings of the **IUFRO** meeting: Artificial intelligence and growth models for forest management decisions; 1989 Sep. 18-22; Vienna. FWS-I-89. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University: 32-42. Baldwin, V.C., Jr.; **Polmer,** B.H. 1981. Taper functions for unthinned **longleaf** pine plantations on cutover
- West Gulf sites. In: Bamett, J.P., ed. Proceedings of the first biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1980 Nov. 6-7; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-34. New Orleans **LA:** U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 156-162.
- Baldwin, V.C., Jr.; Saucier, J.R. 1983. Aboveground weight and volume of unthinned, planted **longleaf** pine on West Gulf forest sites. Res. Pap. SO-191. New Orleans, **LA:** U.S. Department of Agriculture, Southern Forest *Experiment* Station: 25 p.
- Busby, R.L.; Thomas, C.E.; Lohrey, R.E. 1993. Potential &duct values from thinned **longleaf** pine plantations in Louisiana. In: **Brissette,** J.C., ed. Proceedings of the 7th biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1992 Nov. 17-19; Mobile, Al. Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-93. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 645-650.
- Busby, R.L.; Thomas, **C.E.;** Lohrey, R.E.; Le, K.H.N. 1995. Rapidly restoring large-diameter **longleaf** pine ecosystems at a low cost: a preliminary appraisal. In: Edwards, M.B., **comp.** Proceedings of the eighth biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1994 Nov. **1-3,** Auburn, AL. Gen. Tech. Rep. **SRS**-1. Ashville, NC; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station: 192-196.
- Eriksson, M.; Lin, C.; Thomas, C.E.; Lohrey, R.E. 1993. **Dendroecological** modeling of thinned and unthinned **longleaf** pine stands in the western gulf region. In: **Brissette,** J.C., ed. Proceedings of the 7th biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1992 Nov. 17-19; Mobile, AL. Gen. Tech. **Rep. SO-93. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest** Experiment Station: 585-592.
- Leduc, D.L.; Matney, T.G.; Baldwin, V.C., Jr. 1998. Diameter distributions of **longleaf** pine plantations-A neural network approach. In: Proceedings of the **Longleaf** Alliance Conference, 1998 **Nov 17-20**; Charleston, SC.

- Lohrey, R.E. 1983. Stem volume prediction and crown characteristics of thinned longleaf pine plantations. In: Jones, E.P., Jr., ed. Proceedings of the 2nd biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 1982 Nov. 4-5; Atlanta, GA. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-24. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station: 338343.
- Lohrey, R.E.; Bailey, R.L. 1977. Yield tables and structure for unthinned longleaf pine plantations in Louisiana and Texas. Res. Pap. SO-133. New Orleans, LA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 53 p.
- Thomas, C.E.; Parresol, B.R.; Le, K.H.N.; Lohrey, R.F. 1995. Biomass and taper for trees in thinned and unthinned longleaf pine plantations. South J. Appl. For. 19: 29-35.
- Thomas, C.E.; Lohrey, R.E. 1989. A diameter distribution model for thinned longleaf pine plantations: a beginning. In: Farrar, R.M., Jr., ed. Proceedings of the symposium on the management of longleaf pine; 1989 Apr: 4-6; Long Beach, MS: Gen. Tech. Rep. SO-75. New Orleans, LA: U.S. bepartment of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station: 193-208.